

Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost

## **MEMORANDUM**

February 12, 2003

TO:

Fiona Liken

FROM:

Sue Achtemeier

SUBJECT:

Interpretations of C-BASE scores

Attached is the report of UGAs C-BASE pilot test scores and the "Interpreting College BASE scores" page. The following few guidelines are excerpted from the interpretation page.

- Approximately 17 points represents a meaningful difference in averages.
  - o This would suggest that five of the UGA average (mean) scores-English, Science, Social Studies, Writing, and Social Sciencesare meaningfully above those of the selected comparison group. Three scores - Mathematics, Algebra, and Science Fundamental Concepts - are not significantly above the comparator average score using this guideline.
  - UGAs composite score is significantly above the comparator composite score.
- UGAs reported standard deviation is higher in Mathematics than in the other three areas.

ollege BASE

Number of incomplete tests.\*\*/A
Number of incomplete tests.\*\*/

This institutional summary report presents aggregate scores for all examinees who took College BASE on the test date noted above and who indicated enrollment at your institution. Scores on College BASE are presented in two dimensions of achievement: four Subject Scores (English, mathematics, science, and social studies) and three Competency Scores (interpretive, strategic, and adaptive reasoning). Subject Scores show examinees' familiarity with traditional subject matter. For diagnostic purposes, the Subjects are divided into levels that become increasingly more specific: from Subjects to Clusters to Skills. Subject Scores, and the associated Cluster Scores, are reported on a scale ranging from 40 to 560, with an average being 300. Skill Scores are reported as High, Medium, or Low.

Competency Scores show examinees' capability in cognitive processing skills as they apply to all subjects, regardless of particular content. These scores are reported as High, Medium, or Low. The Composite Score (representing overall performance on the examination) is reported on the same 40-to-560 scale as the Subject Scores. For each College BASE scaled score, this institutional summary report displays the average score (representing the median) and a standard deviation (representing the range encompassing approximately 68 percent of the examinees' scores). For the College BASE Cluster Scores designated as High, Medium, or Low, this institutional summary report gives the number (and the corresponding percentage) of examinees who achieved a High rating (H), a Medium rating (M), and a Low rating (L). Please refer to your Institutional Summary Report: Interpretive Guide for more complete information.

	ENGLISH Avg. S.D.	READING & LITERATURE Avg. S.D. 297 \$9	reading critically H M L 1702 4000 1646 23X 55X 22X	reading analytically H M L 1908 4276 1244 26% Sex 17%	understanding literature H M L 1922 3436 1679 26% 52% 22%	WRITING Avg. S.D.	writing as a process H M L 1793 4143 1492 242 562 282	conventions of written English H M L 1316 5107 1003 102 692 142	writing exercise H M L 41 2446 347 12 e72 112	
Scores	MATHEMATICS Avg. S.D.	GENERAL MATHEMATICS Avg. S.D. 325 61	practical applications H M L 2511 JA62 980 372 562 132	properties and notations H M L 2361 3965 647 342 572 92	using statistics H M L 2512 1573 888 562 - 512 132	ALGEBRA Avg. S.D. 325 62	evaluating expressions H M L 2609 3695 769 342 532 112	equations & GEOA & Inequalities H M L Avg. 2175 4ce3 715 312 51X 59X 10X		geometrical calculations H M L 158 4969 1446 21X Sex 21X
Subject	SCIENCE Avg. S.D.	LABORATORY & FIELD WORK Avg. S.D. 311 63	observation/experimental design H M L 1743 1974 1252 272 543 193	iaboratory/field techniques H M L 1773 3467 929 27% 89% 14%	interpreting results H M L 1936 3747 986 292 572 242	FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS Avg. S.D. 310 62	life sciences H M L 1739 3434 1416 262 522 213	physical sciences H M L 2125 3354 1108 322 512 172	·	
	SOCIAL STUDIES Avg. S.D. 296 45	HISTORY Avg. S.D.	significance of world events H M L 1197 4441 1662 162 662 162	significance of U.S. events H M L 1643 3846 1211 252 572 182		SOCIAL SCIENCES Avg. S.D. 298 60	geography H M L 1577 3867 1256 242 582 192	political/economic structures H M L 1874 3335 1491 28X 59X 22X	social science procedures H M L 1739 8441 1120 262 872 172	

### COMPETENCY SCORES

ADAPTIVE REASONING INTERPRETIVE REASONING STRATEGIC REASONING H ME L Ho SCORE L Ho SCORE L

### COMPOSITE SCORE

This score is the average composite score achieved by this group of examinees.

# INSTITUTIONAL SUMMARY REPORT



ollege BASE

Institution: Attached FICE No.: 9815
Test Date: JANU
Test Form: Ut University of Georgia Athens, GA 30402-0001 965789 See AMYTHYF

612 MINER BOOKLETS ##

Assessment Resource Center College of Education - University of Missouri

This INSTITUTIONAL SUMMARY REPORT presents aggregate scores for all examinees who took College BASE on the test date noted above and who indicated enrollment at your institution. Scores on College BASE are presented in two dimensions of achievement: four Subject Scores (English, mathematics, science, and social studies) and three Competency Scores (interpretive, strategic, and adaptive reasoning) Subject Scores show examinees' familiarity with traditional subject matter. For diagnostic purposes, the Subjects are divided into levels that become increasingly more specific: from Subjects to Clusten to Skills. Subject Scores, and the associated Cluster Scores, are reported on a scale ranging from 40 to 560, with an average being 300. Skill Scores are reported as High, Medium, or Low Number of complete tests: \*\* 612 AMSKER BOOKLETS \*\*
Number of incomplete tests: \*\* NOT APPLICABLE \*\*
\*\* COLLEGE BASE 1:1 ENG. 184), NTN( 182), SCII 184), 303( 184) \*\*

Competency Scores show examinees' capability in cognitive processing skills as they apply to all subjects, regardless of particular content. These scores are reported as High, Medium, or Low. The Composite Score (representing overall performance on the examination) is reported on the same 40-to-560 scale as the Subject Scores. For each Callege BASE scaled scores, this instritutional summans are posted deviation (representing the median) and a standard deviation (representing the encompassing approximately 68 percent of the examinees' scores). For the Callege BASE Cluster Scores designated as High, Medium, or Low, this instritutional summans report gives the number (and the corresponding percentage) of examinees who achieved a High rating (H), a Medium rating (M), and a Low rating (L). Please refer to your Institutional Summans Report: Interpretive Guide for more complete information.

		,,			
			Subject		
ENTER	I	SOCIAL STUDIES Avg. S.D	SCIE Avg.	MATHEMATICS Avg. S.D. 331 62	ENGLISH Avg. S.D. 328 \$9 MCLISH & WATTIMO
HO SOME L		DIES S.D.	SCIENCE Ng. S.D.	MATHEMATICS Avg. S.D. 331 62	ENGLISH LII  Avg. S.D. Av 329 S9 311  MCLISH & WITTING SCORES
INTERPRETIVE REASONING		HIS Avg.	LABOR & FIELD Avg.	GEN MATHI Avg. 352	REA UTEI Avg. 317 scores
	СОМ	HISTORY vg. S.D.	LABORATORY & FIELD WORK Avg. S.D. 332 S2	GENERAL MATHEMATICS Avg. S.D.	READING & LITERATURE S.D. S.D. \$17 60 RES
STRATEGIC REASONING	COMPETENCY SCORES	signific world : H & 40 104		5.	ž s <sub>H</sub>
TEGIC REAS	NCY 5	significance of world events H M L 10 104 10 12 482 42	cbservation/experi- mental design H M L 44 93 7	practical applications H M L 1 66 \$	reading critically M L 77 30 Sex 192
SONING	SCORE				
ADA	S3	significance of U.S. events H M L 43 82 9	laboratory/field lechniques H M L 40 90 16	properties and notations H M L 33 109 10	reading analytically H M L S9 92 23
ADAPTIVE REASONING		onts	ry/field lques L 16	ties itions	ending alytically M L +2 23
EASONIN			AST E	ж вн	M H B
ត			interpreting results H M L A 80 10	using statistics	understanding literature H M L 74 58 22 48% 39% 14%
_		35 A. (s		и.	#>
		SOCIAL SCIENCES Avg. S.D.	FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS Avg. S.D.	ALGEBRA Avg. S.D.	WRITING Avg. S.D.
	COMPOSITE	geo;	33 H	evali expre H 61	writh pro
(E)		geography H M L 15 188 11	Sciencas M L 86 27 86% 11X	evaluating expressions H M L 41 73 18	writing as a process H M L 15 9 90 15 27 27 27 27 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
	l	٠. ¥ ع	• -	equ & ino H	N 50
	SCORE	political/economic structures H M L 76 69 19 497 442 62	physical sciences H M L 64 92 9	equations & inequalities H M L 49 86 17 32% 57% 11%	written English H M L 16 183 15 124 677 187
This so achieve		onomic res L L			sez Fr Sijsh
core is the		8 g x 2 \$		NETRY S.D.	_= _=
This score is the average composite score achieved by this group of examinees.		social science procedures H M L 60 70 0		GEOMETRY 2- & 3-dimensional figures Avg. S.D. H M L 518 78 23 342 511 151	writing exercise H M L
e compo of exami		χ⊕Γ 40 C		-dimensiona figures M L 78 23 51X 15X	
xsite scor nees.				goor caker	
, a	J			geometrical calculations H M L 29 46 37 242	

# **Attachment 3**

# Recommendation from Subcommittee Concerning General Education Assessment

RECEIVED '03 FEB 27 PM 12 12

University Council Athens, Georgia 30602

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA
VP INSTRUCTION

# **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Dr. Delmer D. Dunn

Vice President for Instruction

FROM:

Dr. Jan M. Hathcote

Chair, General Education Subcommittee

DATE:

February 26, 2003

SUBJECT

General Education Assessment

Attached is the response from the Subcommittee on General Education to SACS concerning General Education Assessment. The subcommittee recommends that the C-Base exam be administered by the Office of Institutional Effectiveness to juniors in the fall of every other year. The subcommittee will review the results and make recommendations based on the results.

cc: Dr. James E. Fletcher

# Response to SACS - General Education Assessment

After reviewing several assessment instruments and consulting with other institutions, the General Education Subcommittee determined that the College Basic Academic Subjects Examination, C-BASE, most nearly reflected the learning outcomes that it had identified for general education courses at UGA. Therefore, the Subcommittee decided to pilot test the C-BASE in spring 2003. Six hundred fourteen tests were administered, predominately to juniors, within a three-week period at the beginning of the semester. The Subcommittee has reviewed the institutional profile results provided by this pilot testing and formed its initial recommendations for incorporating those findings into evaluation of the general education curriculum.

The Subcommittee determined that the C-Base is a suitable test for assessing general education at the University. The results of the pilot test show the students at the University of Georgia are above average compared to fifteen comparable institutions. The Subcommittee is satisfied with the results and the appropriateness of the exam. The subcommittee recommends adopting the exam to be administered to students every other year in the fall. The results will be monitored by the Subcommittee of General Education. If the results fall behind comparable institutions, the subcommittee will assess general education course offerings.